



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,287	0/717,287 11/19/2003		Henry H. Gu	DB19 Div 3	7579
23914	7590	08/02/2005		EXAMINER	
STEPHEN		IS QUIBB COMPANY	STOCKTO	STOCKTON, LAURA	
PATENT D		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
POBOX 40	000		1626		
PRINCETO	N, NJ 0	8543-4000	DATE MAILED: 08/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Cummany	10/717,287	GU ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status		١					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 M	lay 2005.	!					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	s action is non-final.	!					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-39</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-34</u> is/are allowed.	i)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-34</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>35-39</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>35-39</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	∍r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
\ A441	•						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1626

#### DETAILED ACTION

Claims 23-39 are pending in the application.

### Election/Restrictions

Claims 23-34 are directed to an allowable product. Pursuant to the procedures set forth in the Official Gazette notice dated March 26, 1996 (1184 O.G. 86), claims 35-39, directed to the process of making or using the patentable product, previously withdrawn from consideration as a result of a restriction requirement, are now subject to being rejoined. Claims 35-39 hereby rejoined and fully examined for patentability under 37 CFR 1.104.

Since all claims previously withdrawn from consideration under 37 CFR 1.142 have been rejoined, the restriction requirement made in the Office action mailed on June 29, 2004 is hereby withdrawn.

#### Terminal Disclaimer

The terminal disclaimer filed on May 11, 2005 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patent 6,624,184 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Rejections made in the previous Office Action that do not appear below have been overcome. Therefore, arguments pertaining to these rejections will not be addressed. However, the following now applies.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 1626

Claims 35-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

In <u>In re Wands</u>, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (1988), factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure meets the enablement requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, have been described. They are:

- 1. the nature of the invention,
- 2. the state of the prior art,
- 3. the predictability or lack thereof in the art,
- 4. the amount of direction or guidance present,
- 5. the presence or absence of working examples,
- 6. the breadth of the claims,
- 7. the quantity of experimentation needed, and
- 8. the level of the skill in the art.

Art Unit: 1626

#### The nature of the invention

Applicants are claiming methods for treating an IMPDH-associated disorder by administering a compound of formula (I) in instant claim 23. From the reading of the specification, it appears that Applicants are asserting that the embraced compounds, because of their mode action which involves the inhibiting IMPDH enzyme activity, would be useful for treating disorders such as all autoimmune disorders, cancer, DNA or RNA viral replication disease, etc.

The state of the prior art and the predictability or lack thereof in the art

The state of the prior art is that cancer therapy, for example, remains highly unpredictable. The various types of cancers have different causative agents, involve different cellular mechanisms, and consequently, differ in treatment protocol. It is known (see Golub et al., Science, Vol. 286, October 15, 1999, pages 531-537) that the challenge of cancer

Art Unit: 1626

treatment has been to target specific therapies to pathogenetically distinct tumor types, to maximize efficacy and minimize toxicity. Cancer classification has been based primarily on morphological appearance of the tumor and that tumors with similar histopathological appearance can follow significantly different clinical courses and show different responses to therapy (Golub et al., Science, Vol. 286, October 15, 1999, pages 531-537). There is no absolute predictability even in view of the seemingly high level of skill in the art. The existence of these obstacles establishes that the contemporary knowledge in the art would prevent one of ordinary skill in the art from accepting any therapeutic regimen on its face.

The amount of direction or guidance present and the presence or absence of working examples

The only direction or guidance present in the instant specification is found on pages 40-41. That a single class of compounds can be used to treat all

Art Unit: 1626

disorders embraced by the claims is an incredible finding for which Applicants have not provided supporting evidence. Applicants has not provided any competent evidence or disclosed tests that are highly predictive for the pharmaceutical use for treating all disorders by administering the instant claimed compounds.

#### The breadth of the claims

The breadth of the claims is treating all IMPDH-associated disorders generically embraced in the claim language.

### The quantity of experimentation needed

The nature of the pharmaceutical arts is that it involves screening <u>in vitro</u> and <u>in vivo</u> to determine which compounds exhibit the desired pharmacological activities for each of the disorders instantly claimed. The quantity of experimentation needed would be undue when faced with the lack of direction and guidance present in the instant specification in regards to

Application/Control Number: 10/717,287 Page 8

Art Unit: 1626

testing all disorders generically embraced in the claim language, and when faced with the unpredictability of the pharmaceutical art. Thus, factors such as "sufficient working examples", "the level of skill in the art" and predictability, etc. have been demonstrated to be sufficiently lacking in the instant case for the instant method claims.

#### The level of the skill in the art

Even though the level of skill in the pharmaceutical art is very high, based on the unpredictable nature of the invention and state of the prior art and lack of guidance and direction, one skilled in the art could not use the claimed invention without undue experimentation.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 23-34 are allowed over the art of record.

Art Unit: 1626

#### Conclusion

Applicants' amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1626

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura L. Stockton whose telephone number is (571) 272-0710. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:15 am to 2:45 pm. If the examiner is out of the Office, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane, can be reached on (571) 272-0699.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The Official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

JOSEPH K. MCKANE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

July 13, 2005

Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1626, Group 1620

Technology Center 1600

BRUCE KISLIUK, DIRECTOR TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600